IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 8TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 19TH MAGHA 1928

W.P.(C).No. 815 of 2007(V)

PETITIONER:

ARAVINDAKHOSH.P.V.,
CHANIVELIYIL, MUHAMMA P.O.,
ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.J.OM PRAKASH

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O., KOZHIKODE.

2. THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY, CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O.,
KOZHIKODE.

BY SC, SRI. P.C.SASIDHARAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C). No.815 Of 2007

==========

Dated this the 8th day of February, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Heard.

The petitioner seeks a second revaluation of his answer script in Labour Law-II, 6th Semester LL.B course of the Calicut University. University has filed a statement to the effect that the petitioner applied for revaluation and the paper was revalued by a qualified examiner. It was seen that there was no change in marks. As per the revaluation rules, the marks originally awarded would be granted only if there is a change in the marks by 5% of the maximum marks or more than 5% secured, would then be the script taken for second revaluation.

In such circumstances, I do not find any ground to interfere and give any relief to the petitioner. This writ petition fails and the same is accordingly dismissed.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN,
JUDGE.

sj